arXiv:1202.2312v2 [math.QA] 16 Feb 2012

COIDEAL ALGEBRAS FROM TWISTED MANIN TRIPLE

S. BELLIARD AND N. CRAMPÉ

Abstract. We propose a new approach to study coideal algebras. It is well-known that Manin triples (or equivalently Lie bi-algebra structure) is the requirement to deform Lie algebra and to obtain quantum groups. In this paper, introducing some particular automorphisms of Manin triples, we define a new structure that we call Lie bi-ideal structure. We show that their deformation provide coideal algebras. As examples, we recover from our general construction the twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}^{\pm}(sl(2))$, the q-Onsager algebra and the augmented q-Onsager algebra. As an important by-product, we find a new presentation for the twisted Yangians.

MSC numbers: 81R50, 17B37

1. Introduction

The quantum groups introduced in [J1, Dr] have a lot of influence in different scientific domains, as the study of the Hopf algebras or the development of the quantum inverse scattering method [FST] to solve the quantum integrable systems. In fact, it was realized later that the Hopf structure is not necessary to study integrable system but that a coideal structure is enough. Indeed, the study of integrable models with open boundary conditions (instead of periodic boundary conditions) requires the reflection equations [Cher] which define subalgebras, called reflection algebras [Sk] (see also [MR]). These subalgebras are not Hopf algebras anymore but are still coideal subalgebras.

A lot of coideal subalgebras have been introduced: the twisted quantized enveloping algebra corresponding to symmetric pairs (gl_n, o_n) or (gl_{2n}, sp_{2n}) [No, NS, GK] or to symmetric pairs $(gl_{n+m}, gl_n \oplus gl_m)$ [DN, DNS], the twisted Yangians [Ols, MNO], the twisted q-Yangians [MRS] or the q-Onsager algebras and their generalization [IT1, IT2, BS, BB] (see also [DMS, DM] for an application to integrable quantum field theories). Let us also mention the papers [Le] where a classification of coideals for the finite quantum groups has been performed, [DKM, Mu] where Drinfeld twists allow them to introduce an universal reflection equation and [KS] where they exhibit relations between the different approaches.

In this paper, we propose to modify the historical methods, used by Drinfeld to introduce quantum groups [Dr], in order to obtain coideal subalgebras. Namely, Drinfeld used the Lie bi-algebra structure to characterize the expansion of the coproduct. Then, he showed that it defined completely the deformation and obtained quantum groups. In the case of coideal subalgebras, we have to replace the notion of bi-algebra by a new structure: the Lie bi-ideal structure (see definition 2.2). Then, we show how to deform this structure to get coideal algebras.

One of the practical methods to get examples of Lie bi-algebra structures consists in finding Manin triples. Indeed, there is a one-to-one correspondence between a Manin triple and a Lie bi-algebra structure (for finite algebra). In this paper, we associate a Lie bi-ideal structure to particular automorphism of Manin triple, called Manin triple twist. From a simple criteria on this twist, we identify two types of deformation, leading to Hopf subalgebras or coideal subalgebras.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we remind the definition of a Manin triple and its relation with the Lie bi-algebra structure. Then, we define the Manin triple twists and the Lie bi-ideal structure. In section 3, we are interested in the deformation of the previous structure. We remind how to deform the Lie bi-algebra structure and explain how to deform the Lie bi-ideal structure. In section 4, we give different examples of these structures associated to the loop algebra (section 4.1) and to the half-loop algebra (section 4.2). For each case, we provide a Lie bi-algebra structure and give the Hopf deformation to get the quantum algebra and the Yangian. We give also different examples of Manin triple twists and get the q-Onsager algebra, the augmented q-Onsager algebra, the positive Borel of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(A_1^{(2)})$ and the twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^\pm(sl_2)$. In section 5, we construct, using intertwiner techniques, scalar K-matrices from the coideal algebras obtained in the examples. It allows us to discuss the connection between our examples and some known reflection algebras. Finally, we conclude and give some open problems in section 6.

2. MANIN TRIPLE AND TWISTS

In this section, we remind the definition of a Manin triple as well as the link with the Lie bi-algebra structure. Then, we introduce the notion of twist and study their consequences.

Throughout this section, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra. Similar definitions can be given for $\mathfrak{p}$ infinite but, in this case, the notion of duality must be tackle with care.

2.1. **Lie bi-algebra and Manin triple.**

In this subsection, we recall the definitions of a Lie bi-algebra and of a Manin triple (see [CP] for a review). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a skew-symmetric linear map $\delta_\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, the cocommutator, such that $\delta_\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Lie bracket and $\delta_\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-cocycle of $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$

$$\delta_\mathfrak{g}([x, y]) = x.\delta_\mathfrak{g}(y) - y.\delta_\mathfrak{g}(x)\ . \tag{2.1}$$

The couple $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta_\mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie bi-algebra.

To get explicit examples of this structure, it is usually more convenient to deal with the notion of Manin triple. A Manin triple is a triple of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$ such that

(i) there is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{p}$, invariant under the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{p}$;
(ii) $\mathfrak{p}_+$ and $\mathfrak{p}_-$ are Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{p}$;
(iii) $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{p}_-$ as vector spaces;
(iv) $\mathfrak{p}_+$ and $\mathfrak{p}_-$ are isotropic for $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\mathfrak{p}$ (i.e. $\langle \mathfrak{p}_\pm, \mathfrak{p}_\pm\rangle_\mathfrak{p} = 0$).

Indeed, this definition allows one to get Lie bi-algebra since there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the Manin triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$ and a Lie bi-algebra structure for $\mathfrak{p}_+$. More precisely, the inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_\mathfrak{p}$ determines an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathfrak{p}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{p}_-^*$. Then, the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathfrak{p}_+$, i.e. the cocommutator $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_+} : \mathfrak{p}_+ \to \mathfrak{p}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{p}_+$, is provided by the dual of the commutator $[\ ,\ ]_{\mathfrak{p}_-} : \mathfrak{p}_- \otimes \mathfrak{p}_- \to \mathfrak{p}_-$ (see e.g. [CP] for a proof that $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_+} = ([\ ,\ ]_{\mathfrak{p}_-})^*$ is a 1-cocycle). We give two examples of this correspondence in sections 4.1.1 and 4.2.1.

2.2. **Manin triple twists.**

We give here one of the main new structure introduced in this paper.

Definition 2.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$ be a Manin triple. An invariant (resp. anti-invariant) Manin triple twist ϕ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying*

(i) ϕ is an involution i.e. $\phi^2 = Id$;
(ii) $\phi(\mathfrak{p}_\pm) = \mathfrak{p}_\pm$;
(iii) $\langle\phi(x), y\rangle_\mathfrak{p} = +\langle x, \phi(y)\rangle_\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $\langle\phi(x), y\rangle_\mathfrak{p} = -\langle x, \phi(y)\rangle_\mathfrak{p}$), for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{p}$.

As a direct consequence of this definition, a Manin triple twist ϕ provides the symmetric space decompositions

$$\mathfrak{p}_\pm = \mathfrak{k}_\pm \oplus \mathfrak{m}_\pm \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(\mathfrak{k}_\pm) = +\mathfrak{k}_\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\mathfrak{m}_\pm) = -\mathfrak{m}_\pm\ , \tag{2.2}$$

and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with $\phi(\mathfrak{k}) = +\mathfrak{k}$ and $\phi(\mathfrak{m}) = -\mathfrak{m}$. We remind that one gets

$$[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}\ , \quad [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{k}\ . \tag{2.3}$$

Similar relations hold for $\mathfrak{k}_\pm, \mathfrak{m}_\pm$. In particular, the +1-eigenspaces $\mathfrak{k}$, $\mathfrak{k}_\pm$ are Lie algebras. As explained previously (see section 2.1), a Manin triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$ allows one to obtain a Lie bi-algebra structure for the

Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p}_+$. We are now interested if it is possible to get similar result for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}_+$. We treat separately in the following subsections the cases when ϕ is an invariant or an anti-invariant Manin triple twist.

2.2.1. *Invariant Manin triple twist.* Let ϕ be an invariant Manin triple twist. Using this invariance, it is easy to show that

$$\langle \mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{m}_- \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathfrak{m}_+, \mathfrak{k}_- \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \; . \tag{2.4}$$

We deduce that $\mathfrak{k}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{k}_-^*$ as vector spaces. Finally, we can conclude that $(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{k}_-)$ is a Manin triple with the inner product deduced from the one of $\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{k}_+$ is a Lie bi-algebra with the cocommutator $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}+}$ dual of the Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_{\mathfrak{k}-}$.

Remark 2.1. *An important point to notice is that the cocommutator $\delta_{\mathfrak{k}_+}$, constructed by this way, is a map from $\mathfrak{k}_+$ to $\mathfrak{k}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{k}_+$ and is different from the cocommutator $\delta_{\mathfrak{p}_+}$ (constructed from the Manin triple $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$) restricted to $\mathfrak{k}_+$ which is a map from $\mathfrak{k}_+$ to $\mathfrak{k}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{k}_+ + \mathfrak{m}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{m}_+$.*

One example of invariant Manin triple twist is given in section 4.2.2.

2.2.2. *Anti-invariant Manin triple twist.* Let ϕ be an anti-invariant Manin triple twist. Because of the anti-invariance, we get, instead of (2.4),

$$\langle \mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{k}_- \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathfrak{m}_+, \mathfrak{m}_- \rangle_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \; . \tag{2.5}$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{k}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{m}_-^*$ and $\mathfrak{m}_+ \simeq \mathfrak{k}_-^*$ as vector spaces. Since $[\mathfrak{m}_-, \mathfrak{m}_-] \subset \mathfrak{k}_-$, $\mathfrak{m}^-$ is not a Lie algebra and we cannot deduce a Lie bi-algebra structure for $\mathfrak{k}_+$. However, we can define a new type of structure.

Definition 2.2. *Let ϕ be an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-)$ which leads to the symmetric space decompositions (2.2). The linear map $\tau : \mathfrak{k}_+ \to \mathfrak{m}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{k}_+$ is a left Lie bi-ideal structure for the couple $(\mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{m}_+)$ if it is the dual of the following action of $\mathfrak{k}_-$ on $\mathfrak{m}_-$*

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \tau^* : & \mathfrak{k}_- \otimes \mathfrak{m}_- & \to & \mathfrak{m}_- \\ & a \otimes y & \mapsto & [a, y]_{\mathfrak{p}_-} \; . \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

This definition takes sense since the map τ^* is well-defined knowing that $[\mathfrak{k}_-, \mathfrak{m}_-]_{\mathfrak{p}_-} \subset \mathfrak{m}_-$. We can define similarly a right Lie bi-ideal structure by the linear map $\tau' : \mathfrak{k}_+ \to \mathfrak{k}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{m}_+$ dual of the action $\mathfrak{m}_- \otimes \mathfrak{k}_- \to \mathfrak{m}_-, y \otimes a \mapsto [y, a]_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$. This new structure we have introduced here is the main ingredient of this article. We give various examples of it in sections 4.1.2, 4.1.3, 4.2.3 and 4.2.4.

3. Deformation

In this section, we remind briefly the theory of the deformations for the enveloping algebras in order to get the quantized universal enveloping algebras (QUEA). We recall, in particular, the link between the Hopf structure of the QUEA and the Lie bi-algebra structure. Then, we generalize this theory to be able to deform the Lie bi-ideal structure. We show that, instead of Hopf algebra, we get coideal algebra.

3.1. Quantized universal enveloping algebras.

In this section, we give a brief summary of the theory of the deformation based on the review [CP]. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$ be a Lie bi-algebra. Its universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ may be equipped with a coproduct defined by $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ (the action of Δ is extended to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ as an algebra homomorphism). This coproduct allows one to extend the action of δ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\delta(a_1 a_2) = \delta(a_1)\Delta(a_2) + \Delta(a_1)\delta(a_2) \; . \tag{3.1}$$

This extension makes $(U(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, \delta)$ into a co-Poisson-Hopf structure.

By definition, the deformation of the Lie bi-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$ is the Hopf[1] deformation $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta_\hbar)$ of its universal enveloping algebra $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, \delta)$ such that

$$\delta(x) = \frac{\Delta_\hbar(a) - \Delta_\hbar^{\mathrm{op}}(a)}{\hbar} \pmod{\hbar} \tag{3.2}$$

where $x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, $a \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $x = a \pmod{\hbar}$, $\Delta_\hbar^{\mathrm{op}} = \sigma \circ \Delta_\hbar$ and σ flips both spaces.

The practical procedure, used in the following, to deform a Lie bi-algebra structure consists in getting the simplest coproduct satisfying (3.2) then to deform commutation relations such that this coproduct is a homomorphism. In sections 4.1.1 and 4.2.1, we give two well-known examples: the quantum universal enveloping algebra of the loop algebra based on sl_2 and the Yangian of sl_2.

3.2. **Coideal subalgebra.** In this subsection, using the notations of section 2, we define the deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ with the left Lie bi-ideal structure τ. Evidently, we cannot get a Hopf algebra but we obtain a coideal subalgebra. Before going further, we give the definition of the left coideal subalgebra used in this paper. A subaglebra $\mathcal{B}$ of a Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is a left coideal subalgebra $(\mathcal{B}, \mathfrak{T})$ if $\mathfrak{T}$ is an algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$, called coaction, with the coideal coassociativity property:

$$(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \mathfrak{T} = (1 \otimes \mathfrak{T}) \circ \mathfrak{T} \, . \tag{3.3}$$

We may define also a right coideal subalgebra.

As for Lie bi-algebra, we can equip the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ with a coproduct, $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ for $x \in \mathfrak{k}_+$ which allows us to extend the action of τ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ by

$$\tau(a_1 a_2) = \tau(a_1)\Delta(a_2) + \Delta(a_1)\tau(a_2) \, , \tag{3.4}$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{k}_+$.

Remark 3.1. *When we consider the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$, the left bi-ideal structure τ maps $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ and not to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{m}_+) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ in comparison with $\tau : \mathfrak{k}_+ \to \mathfrak{m}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{k}_+$.*

This extension does not make $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+), \Delta, \tau)$ into a co-Poisson-Hopf structure since $\tau : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p}_+) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$. Nevertheless, the triple $(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+), \Delta, \tau)$ shares properties with the co-Poisson-Hopf structure. But, even though we think it is an interesting problem, it is not in the scope of this article to give these properties.

We are now in position to define the deformation for $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$.

Definition 3.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \delta)$ be the Lie bi-algebra defined in section 2.1 and $(\mathfrak{k}_+, \tau)$ be the Lie bi-ideal as in the definition 2.2. A deformation of $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{k}_+, \delta, \tau, \Delta)$ is $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{p}_+), \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{k}_+), \Delta_\hbar, \mathfrak{T}_\hbar)$ such that:*

(i) the Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{p}_+), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a deformation of the Lie bi-algebra $(\mathfrak{p}_+, \delta)$;
(ii) the algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ is a deformation of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$;
(iii) $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{k}_+), \mathfrak{T}_\hbar)$ is a left coideal subalgebra of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{p}_+), \Delta_\hbar)$;
(iv) $\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(a) = \Delta(x) + \hbar\tau(x) \pmod{\hbar^2}$ where $x \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}_+)$, $a \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{k}_+)$ such that $x = a$ mod $(\hbar)$.

We can give a similar definition with τ', the right bi-ideal structure: we get a right coideal instead of a left one. It would be interesting to study the existence and the uniqueness of this type of deformations for any bi-ideal structures but it is already a complicated problem for the Lie bi-algebra structure. Therefore, instead to give a general proof, we provide, in section 4 different examples where such deformations exist.

---

[1] To be concise, we do not mention the counit and the antipode but it can be introduced easily.

4. Examples of Manin triples twists and their deformation

To illustrate the previous theoretical construction, we consider two different well-known examples of Manin triples: one related to the loop algebra of sl_2, denoted $\mathcal{L}$, and another related to the half-loop algebra of sl_2, denoted $\mathcal{L}^+$. From these Manin triples, we obtain the Lie bi-algebra structures for $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^+$ and we deform these algebras, following [Dr, CP]. For each of these Manin triples, we find different Manin triple twists, construct the corresponding Lie bi-ideal structure and compute their deformation. These twists provide examples for *invariant Manin triple twist* introduced in 2.2.1 and for *anti-invariant Manin triple twist* introduced in 2.2.2.

We give for each case the defining relations in the "Cartan type presentation", in which it is easier to define the Manin triple (and the twist). Then, we obtain the Lie bi-algebra or the Lie bi-ideal structures. Finally, for simplicity, the deformation is given in the "Serre type presentation". The deformation in the "Cartan type presentation" is known for the Lie bi-algebras $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^+$ (see e.g. [Dr]). For the Lie bi-ideal case, it will be consider elsewhere.

4.1. **Deformation of the loop algebra $\mathcal{L}$ and its twists.** In this subsection, we deal with the loop algebra $\mathcal{L}$ and its deformation: the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$. Then, we consider two different twists of $\mathcal{L}$. The first one leads to the Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}$ [Ons] and its deformation the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ [B1, B2, IT1, IT2] whereas the second one leads to the augmented Onsager algebra $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ and its deformation the augmented q-Onsager algebra $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$ [IT3].

4.1.1. *Quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$.* Here, for completeness, we recall the deformation of $\mathcal{L}$ to get $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$. The loop algebra of sl_2, $\mathcal{L}$, is the Lie algebra generated by $\{e_n, f_n, h_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ subject only to

$$[h_n, e_m] = 2e_{n+m}\,, \quad [h_n, f_m] = -2f_{n+m}\,, \quad [e_n, f_m] = h_{n+m} \\ \text{and} \quad [h_n, h_m] = [e_n, e_m] = [f_n, f_m] = 0\,, \tag{4.1}$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. We use the inner product on $\mathcal{L}$ defined by

$$\langle e_n, f_{-n}\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle h_n, h_{-n}\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} = -2 \tag{4.2}$$

and vanishing otherwise.

Although the Lie bi-algebra structure for the loop algebra is well-known, we recall the main steps of its derivation from the Manin triple (see e.g. [CP] chapter 1.3). We introduce $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ (as Lie algebras) and both subalgebras

$$\mathcal{D}^+ = \{(x,x) | x \in \mathcal{L}\} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\mathcal{D}^- = \{(e_n, 0), (f_m, 0), (0, f_{-n}), (0, e_{-m}), (h_n, 0), (0, h_{-n}), (h_0, -h_0) | n > 0, m \geq 0\}\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Then, $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-)$ is a Manin triple with the inner product

$$\langle (x,y), (x',y')\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle x, x'\rangle_{\mathcal{L}} - \langle y, y'\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

The subalgebra $\mathcal{D}^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}$. Then, the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{D}^+$, i.e. the cocommutator $\delta : \mathcal{D}^+ \to \mathcal{D}^+ \otimes \mathcal{D}^+$, dual of the commutator on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}^-$ can be explicitly computed from the relation

$$\langle \delta\big((x,x)\big), (x',y') \otimes (x'',y'')\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle (x,x), [(x',y'), (x'',y'')]\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \tag{4.6}$$

for any $(x,x) \in \mathcal{D}^+$ and $(x',y'), (x'',y'') \in \mathcal{D}^-$. As example, we consider the case $(x,x) = (h_0, h_0)$. The non-vanishing term is given by $[(x',y'), (x'',y'')] = (h_0, -h_0)$. This element cannot be obtained from commutation relations in $\mathcal{D}^-$. It follows that $\delta\big((h_0,h_0)\big) = 0$. With the same method, we obtain

$$\delta(h_0) = 0\,, \quad \delta(e_0) = \frac{1}{2}h_0 \wedge e_0\,, \quad \delta(f_0) = \frac{1}{2}h_0 \wedge f_0\,, \quad \delta(e_1) = -\frac{1}{2}h_0 \wedge e_1\,, \quad \delta(f_{-1}) = -\frac{1}{2}h_0 \wedge f_{-1}. \tag{4.7}$$

To write the previous relations, we have used the isomorphism $\mathcal{D}^+ \to \mathcal{L}$ given by $(x,x) \mapsto x$ and the wedge product $x \wedge y = x \otimes y - y \otimes x$. Naturally, they satisfy cocycle condition (2.1).

The knowledge of the cocommutator allows one to deform $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$, as explained in section 3.1. To simplify the computation of the deformation, we use the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" of this algebra. It is given by the generators $\{X_i^\pm, H_i \mid i = 0,1\}$ and the defining relations

$$[H_i, X_j^\pm] = \pm a_{ij} X_j^\pm \ , \quad [X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{i,j} H_i \ , \quad [X_i^\pm, [X_i^\pm, [X_i^\pm, X_{i\pm 1}^\pm]]] = 0 \ , \quad H_0 + H_1 = 0 \ , \tag{4.8}$$

with $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ the symmetric extended Cartan matrix of $\mathcal{L}$ explicitly given by $a_{ii} = 2$ and $a_{ij} = -2$ for $i \neq j$. The map from the second to the first formulation of $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$H_1 \mapsto h_0 \ , \quad H_0 \mapsto -h_0 \ , \quad X_1^+ \mapsto e_0 \ , \quad X_0^+ \mapsto f_{-1} \ , \quad X_1^- \mapsto f_0 \ , \quad X_0^- \mapsto e_1 \ . \tag{4.9}$$

Then, the Lie bi-algebra structure, in this presentation, is given by[2]

$$\delta(X_i^\pm) = \frac{1}{2} H_i \wedge X_i^\pm, \quad \delta(H_i) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

The deformation of this algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ from the previous cocommutator is considered in details in [CP]. One obtains the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$ with the coproduct given by

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathbf{H}_i) = \mathbf{H}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{H}_i, \quad \Delta_\hbar(\mathbf{X}_i^\pm) = \mathbf{X}_i^\pm \otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_i} + e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_i} \otimes \mathbf{X}_i^\pm, \tag{4.11}$$

and the defining commutations relations

$$[\mathbf{H}_i, \mathbf{X}_j^\pm] = \pm a_{ij} \mathbf{X}_j^\pm, \quad [\mathbf{X}_i^+, \mathbf{X}_j^-] = \delta_{i,j} \frac{e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{H}_i} - e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{H}_i}}{e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}}}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$[\mathbf{X}_i^\pm, [\mathbf{X}_i^\pm, [\mathbf{X}_i^\pm, \mathbf{X}_{i\pm 1}^\pm]_{(\hbar)}]_{(-\hbar)}] = 0, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{H}_0 = 0, \tag{4.14}$$

with $[x,y]_{(\hbar)} = xy - e^\hbar yx$. The bold symbols correspond to the deformed generators of the non-bold ones.

4.1.2. *q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$.* We consider now the subalgebra of the loop algebra $\mathcal{L}$ invariant by the automorphism $\eta_1 : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ defined by :

$$\eta_1(e_n) = f_{-n} \ , \ \eta_1(f_n) = e_{-n} \ \text{ and } \ \eta_1(h_n) = -h_{-n}. \tag{4.15}$$

This subalgebra is generated by $\{A_i, G_i\}$ with

$$A_i = 2(e_i + f_{-i}) \ \text{ and } \ G_i = h_i - h_{-i}. \tag{4.16}$$

It is easy to check that they satisfy the relations

$$[A_i, A_j] = 4G_{i-j}, \quad [A_i, G_j] = 2(A_{i+j} - A_{i-j}), \quad [G_i, G_j] = 0, \quad G_i = -G_{-i} \ , \tag{4.17}$$

which are the ones defining the Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}$ [Ons] (see also [Dav1, DaRo] for more details about the relation between $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{O}$).

To calculate the left bi-ideal structure for the Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}$, we need to extend the automorphism η_1 to $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ with the following map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_1 : & \mathcal{D} & \to & \mathcal{D} \\ & (x,y) & \mapsto & (\eta_1(y), \eta_1(x)). \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

---

[2] The difference with the standard Lie bi-algebra structure is due to a factor $-\frac{1}{2}$ in the inner product (4.2).

By direct computation, we show that ϕ_1 is an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for the Manin triple $(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{D}^+,\mathcal{D}^-)$ defined by (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5). This twist implies the following symmetric space decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}^+=\mathcal{K}^+\oplus\mathcal{M}^+ \quad\text{with}\quad \mathcal{K}^+=\{(e_i+f_{-i},e_i+f_{-i}),(h_j-h_{-j},h_j-h_{-j})\mid i\in\mathbb{Z},j>0\}, \qquad (4.19)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\;\; \mathcal{M}^+=\{(e_i-f_{-i},e_i-f_{-i}),(h_k+h_{-k},h_k+h_{-k})\mid i\in\mathbb{Z},k\geq 0\},\\
&\mathcal{D}^-=\mathcal{K}^-\oplus\mathcal{M}^- \quad\text{with}\quad \mathcal{K}^-=\{(e_i,f_{-i}),(f_j,e_{-j}),(h_j,-h_{-j})\mid i>0,j\geq 0\}, \qquad (4.20)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\;\; \mathcal{M}^-=\{(e_i,-f_{-i}),(h_i,h_{-i}),(f_j,-e_{-j})\mid i>0,j\geq 0\}\;.
\end{aligned}$$

We recover that $\langle\mathcal{K}^+,\mathcal{K}^-\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\langle\mathcal{M}^+,\mathcal{M}^-\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=0$ proven, in general, in section 2.2.2.

We remark that the $+1$-eigenspace $\mathcal{K}^+$ of $\mathcal{D}^+$ is isomorphic to the Onsager algebra, with $(x,x)\mapsto x$. Then, we calculate the left Lie bi-ideal structure for it, following section 2.2.2. This structure, i.e. $\tau:\mathcal{K}^+\to\mathcal{M}^+\otimes\mathcal{K}^+$, dual of the commutator $[\;,\;]:\mathcal{K}^-\otimes\mathcal{M}^-\to\mathcal{M}^-$, can be explicitly computed from

$$\langle\tau\big((x,x)\big),(x',y')\otimes(x'',y'')\rangle_{\mathcal{D}}=\langle(x,x),[(x',y'),(x'',y'')]\rangle_{\mathcal{D}} \tag{4.21}$$

with $(x,x)\in\mathcal{K}^+$, $(x',y')\in\mathcal{K}^-$ and $(x'',y'')\in\mathcal{M}^-$. After straightforward calculations, we obtain the left Lie bi-ideal structure for the Onsager algebra. The important values are

$$\tau(A_1)\;=\;-\frac{1}{2}h_0\otimes A_1,\quad \tau(A_0)=\frac{1}{2}h_0\otimes A_0 \tag{4.22}$$

where we have used the map $(x,x)\mapsto x$ and relations (4.16).

Similarly to the case of $\mathcal{L}$, to simplify the deformation procedure, we use another presentation of the algebra $\mathcal{O}$, called the Dolan-Grady algebra [DG]. This presentation is given in terms of $\{A,A^*\}$ subject to the Dolan-Grady relations

$$[A,[A,[A,A^*]]]=16[A,A^*]\quad,\quad [A^*,[A^*,[A^*,A]]]=16[A^*,A]\;. \tag{4.23}$$

The map with the first presentation is given by [Dav2, DaRo]

$$A^*\mapsto A_0\quad\text{and}\quad A\mapsto A_1. \tag{4.24}$$

Let us notice that, from relations (4.9) and (4.16), we can identify A with $2(X_0^++X_0^-)$ and A^* with $2(X_1^++X_1^-)$. From relation (4.22) and using also the map (4.9), the left Lie bi-ideal structure, in this presentation, is given by

$$\tau(A)=\frac{1}{2}H_0\otimes A\;,\quad \tau(A^*)=\frac{1}{2}H_1\otimes A^*. \tag{4.25}$$

From this Lie bi-ideal structure and section 3, it is natural to look for the left coaction $\mathfrak{T}_\hbar:\mathcal{O}_\hbar\to\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})\otimes\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ in the form

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{A})=\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\otimes 1+f(\mathbf{H}_0)\otimes\mathbf{A} \tag{4.26}$$

$$\text{with}\quad \widetilde{\mathbf{A}}=2(\mathbf{X}_0^++\mathbf{X}_0^-)+O(\hbar)\quad\text{and}\quad f(x)=1+\frac{\hbar}{2}x+O(\hbar^2). \tag{4.27}$$

Then, using the coideal coassociativity (3.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\hbar(\widetilde{\mathbf{A}})\;&=\;\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}\otimes 1+f(H_0)\otimes\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} &(4.28)\\
\Delta_\hbar(f(H_0))\;&=\;f(H_0)\otimes f(H_0)\;. &(4.29)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation means that $f(H_0)$ is group like. Then, together with the expansion of $f(x)$ (4.27), it implies that $f(x)=e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}x}$ (see [CP] chapter 6.4). Finally, using (4.27), (4.28) and the coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$

(4.11), we get $\widetilde{\mathbf{A}} = \rho_\hbar(\mathbf{X}_0^+ + \mathbf{X}_0^-)e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_0}$ with $\rho_\hbar = 2 + O(\hbar)$. We choose $\rho_\hbar = [2]_\hbar = \frac{e^\hbar - e^{-\hbar}}{e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}}}$. Similar computations for $\mathbf{A}^*$ can be done and finally we obtain the coaction

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}) = [2]_\hbar(\mathbf{X}_0^+ + \mathbf{X}_0^-)e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_0} \otimes 1 + e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{H}_0} \otimes \mathbf{A}\ , \tag{4.30}$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{A}^*) = [2]_\hbar(\mathbf{X}_1^+ + \mathbf{X}_1^-)e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_1} \otimes 1 + e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{H}_1} \otimes \mathbf{A}^*\ . \tag{4.31}$$

To require that this coaction is an homomorphism of algebra implies the following deformation for the Dolan-Grady relations [B2]

$$[\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{A}, [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*]_{(\hbar)}]_{(-\hbar)}] = ([2]_\hbar)^4\, [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}^*] \tag{4.32}$$

$$[\mathbf{A}^*, [\mathbf{A}^*, [\mathbf{A}^*, \mathbf{A}]_{(\hbar)}]_{(-\hbar)}] = ([2]_\hbar)^4\, [\mathbf{A}^*, \mathbf{A}], \tag{4.33}$$

with $[x,y]_{(\hbar)} = xy - e^\hbar yx$. These relations have been previously introduced in [IT1, IT2, B1] and the algebra generated by these relations is called q-Onsager algebra.

4.1.3. *Augmented q-Onsager algebra* $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$. We consider the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ invariant by the automorphism $\eta_2 : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ defined by:

$$\eta_2(e_n) = e_{-n+1}\ ,\ \ \eta_2(f_n) = f_{-n-1} \ \text{ and } \ \eta_2(h_n) = h_{-n}. \tag{4.34}$$

This subalgebra is generated by $\{B_i, \bar{B}_i, K_i\}$ with

$$B_i = e_i + e_{-i+1}, \quad \bar{B}_i = f_i + f_{-i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad K_i = h_i + h_{-i}. \tag{4.35}$$

It easy to check that they satisfy the relations

$$[B_i, B_j] = [\bar{B}_i, \bar{B}_j] = [K_i, K_j] = 0, \quad B_i = B_{-i+1}, \quad \bar{B}_i = \bar{B}_{-i-1}, \quad K_i = K_{-i} \tag{4.36}$$

$$[B_i, \bar{B}_j] = (K_{i+j} + K_{-i+j+1}), \quad [K_i, \bar{B}_j] = -2(\bar{B}_{i+j} + \bar{B}_{-i+j}), \quad [K_i, B_j] = 2(B_{i+j} + B_{-i+j}). \tag{4.37}$$

We call this subalgebra the augmented Onsager algebra $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ to reference at the q-deformed case introduced in [IT3], see below.

As for the Onsager case, we introduce a twist for the Manin triple $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-)$ defined by (4.3) and (4.4). For that, we extend the automorphism η_2 to the following map

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \phi_2 : & \mathcal{D} & \to & \mathcal{D} \\ & (x,y) & \mapsto & (\eta_2(y), \eta_2(x)). \end{array} \tag{4.38}$$

We show that it is an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^+, \mathcal{D}^-)$. In this case, the symmetric space decompositions $\mathcal{D}^+ = \mathcal{K}^+ \oplus \mathcal{M}^+$ and $\mathcal{D}^- = \mathcal{K}^- \oplus \mathcal{M}^-$ are given by

$$\mathcal{K}^+ = \{(e_i + e_{-i+1}, e_i + e_{-i+1}), (f_j + f_{-j-1}, f_j + f_{-j-1}), (h_j + h_{-j}, h_j + h_{-j})\} \tag{4.39}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^+ = \{(e_i - e_{-i+1}, e_i - e_{-i+1}), (f_j - f_{-j-1}, f_j - f_{-j-1}), (h_i - h_{-i}, h_i - h_{-i})\} \tag{4.40}$$

$$\mathcal{K}^- = \{(f_j, f_{-j-1}), (e_i, e_{-i+1}), (h_i, h_{-i})\} \tag{4.41}$$

$$\mathcal{M}^- = \{(f_j, -f_{-j-1}), (e_i, -e_{-i+1}), (h_j, -h_{-j})\} \tag{4.42}$$

with $i > 0$ and $j \geq 0$. The $+1$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{D}^+$ is isomorphic to the augmented Onsager algebra. Using the same procedure as for the Onsager case, we can obtain the left Lie bi-ideal structure of the augmented Onsager algebra. The important values are

$$\tau(B_1) = \frac{1}{4}(e_1 - e_0) \otimes K_0\ , \quad \tau(\bar{B}_0) = -\frac{1}{4}(f_0 - f_{-1}) \otimes K_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(K_0) = 0, \tag{4.43}$$

where we have used the map $(x,x) \mapsto x$.

To simplify the quantification, we used another presentation of this subalgebra generated by B, B^*, K satisfying the relations

$$[B,[B,[B,B^*]]]=0,\quad [B^*,[B^*,[B^*,B]]]=0,\quad [K,B^*]=-4\,B^*,\quad [K,B]=4\,B. \tag{4.44}$$

The map with the first presentation is given by

$$B\mapsto B_1\ ,\quad B^*\mapsto \bar{B}_0\ ,\quad \text{and}\quad K\mapsto K_0\ . \tag{4.45}$$

The left Lie bi-ideal structure in this presentation is given by (using also (4.9))

$$\tau(B)=\frac{1}{4}(X_0^- - X_1^+)\otimes K,\quad \tau(B^*)=-\frac{1}{4}(X_1^- - X_0^+)\otimes K\quad \text{and}\quad \tau(K)=0. \tag{4.46}$$

From this left Lie bi-ideal structure, we show that the left coaction of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$ can be chosen as

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{K})=(\mathbf{H}_1-\mathbf{H}_0)\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{K}, \tag{4.47}$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{B})=\mathbf{X}_1^+e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_0}\otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{K}}+\mathbf{X}_0^-e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_1}\otimes e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{K}}+1\otimes\mathbf{B}, \tag{4.48}$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{B}^*)=\mathbf{X}_1^-e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_0}\otimes e^{-\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{K}}+\mathbf{X}_0^+e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{H}_1}\otimes e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}\mathbf{K}}+1\otimes\mathbf{B}^*. \tag{4.49}$$

To require that this coaction is an homomorphism of algebra implies the following deformation of (4.44)

$$[\mathbf{B},[\mathbf{B},[\mathbf{B},\mathbf{B}^*]_{(\hbar)}]_{(-\hbar)}]=-\rho_\hbar\mathbf{B}(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{K}}-e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{K}})\mathbf{B}, \tag{4.50}$$

$$[\mathbf{B}^*,[\mathbf{B}^*,[\mathbf{B}^*,\mathbf{B}]_{(\hbar)}]_{(-\hbar)}]=\rho_\hbar\mathbf{B}^*(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{K}}-e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{K}})\mathbf{B}^*, \tag{4.51}$$

$$[\mathbf{K},\mathbf{B}^*]=-4\,\mathbf{B}^*,\quad [\mathbf{K},\mathbf{B}]=4\,\mathbf{B}, \tag{4.52}$$

with $[x,y]_{(\hbar)}=xy-e^\hbar yx$ and $\rho_\hbar=(e^\hbar-e^{-\hbar})(e^\hbar+e^{-\hbar}+1)$. These relations have been previously introduced in [IT2] and the corresponding algebra is called augmented q-Onsager algebra.

4.2. **Deformation of the half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ and its twists.** In this subsection, we deal with the half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ and its deformation: the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$. Then, we give an example of invariant Manin triple twist leading to an Hopf algebra and two different coideal subalgebras. Since the construction of the Manin triple twists and their quantification are similar to the previous section, we do not give all the details.

4.2.1. *Yangian* $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$. Here, for completeness, we recall the deformation of the half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ to get the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$[3]. The half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ is the Lie algebra, with unit, generated by $\{e_n, f_n, h_n | n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ subject only to

$$\begin{gathered}[h_n,e_m]=2e_{n+m}\ ,\quad [h_n,f_m]=-2f_{n+m}\ ,\quad [e_n,f_m]=h_{n+m}\\ \text{and}\quad [h_n,h_m]=[e_n,e_m]=[f_n,f_m]=0\ ,\end{gathered} \tag{4.53}$$

for $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$. The half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ may be seen as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ defined in section 4.1.1. The Lie bi-algebra structure for the half-loop algebra is well-known [Dr] however we recall briefly its construction from a Manin triple. If $\mathcal{L}^-$ denotes the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ with the elements $\{e_n, f_n, h_n | n<0\}$, one can show that $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}^+,\mathcal{L}^-)$ is a Manin triple with the inner product $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle_\mathcal{L}$ defined by

$$\langle\langle e_n, f_{-n-1}\rangle\rangle_\mathcal{L}=-1\quad\text{and}\quad \langle\langle h_n, h_{-n-1}\rangle\rangle_\mathcal{L}=-2 \tag{4.54}$$

and equals to 0 otherwise. Let us emphasize that this inner product for $\mathcal{L}$ is different from the one (4.2) used to construct $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$. Using this Manin triple and following section 2.1, one can obtain a Lie bi-algebra structure for $\mathcal{L}^+$. The cocommutator for the first modes are given explicitly by

$$\delta(x_0)=0\ ,\ \delta(e_1)=h_0\wedge e_0\ ,\ \delta(f_1)=f_0\wedge h_0\quad\text{and}\quad \delta(h_1)=2e_0\wedge f_0\ , \tag{4.55}$$

for $x_0\in\{e_0,f_0,h_0\}$.

---

[3] The algebra called Yangian is in fact $\mathcal{Y}_1(sl_2)$ but it is well-known that $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)\simeq\mathcal{Y}_{\hbar'}(sl_2)$ for $\hbar,\hbar'\neq 0$. So, by abuse, we call Yangian any $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$ for $\hbar\neq 0$.

As in the previous cases, it is more convenient to deal with the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" when we want to deform an algebra. It is known that $\mathcal{L}^+$ may be generated only with $\{e, f, h, J(e), J(f), J(h)\}$ subject to relations

$$[e, f] = h \ , \qquad [h, f] = -2f \ , \qquad [h, e] = 2e \tag{4.56}$$

$$J([x, y]) = [x, J(y)] \ , \qquad J(ax + by) = aJ(x) + bJ(y) \tag{4.57}$$

and the following Serre type relation

$$[[J(e), J(f)], J(h)] = 0 \ . \tag{4.58}$$

In this presentation, the whole set of elements are obtained as imbricated commutators. The map between both presentations is given by

$$e \mapsto e_0, \quad f \mapsto f_0, \quad h \mapsto h_0, \quad J(e) \mapsto e_1, \quad J(f) \mapsto f_1, \quad J(h) \mapsto h_1. \tag{4.59}$$

The Lie bi-algebra structure in the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" is easily deduced from the previous map and from relations (4.55) and can be written compactly as follows

$$\delta(x) = 0 \ , \ \delta(J(x)) = [x \otimes 1, t], \tag{4.60}$$

where $x \in \{e, f, h\}$ and $t = e \otimes f + f \otimes e + \frac{1}{2} h \otimes h$.

We can now consider the deformation of the Lie bi-algebra $(\mathcal{L}^+, \delta)$ to get the Yangian of sl_2 [Dr]. The easiest way to get a Hopf structure satisfying relation (3.2) with the Lie bi-algebra structure given by (4.60) is to define the coproduct of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\hbar \quad : \qquad x \quad &\mapsto \quad x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \\ \mathbf{J}(x) \quad &\mapsto \quad \mathbf{J}(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathbf{J}(x) + \frac{\hbar}{2}[x \otimes 1, t] \end{aligned} \tag{4.61}$$

In order to make $\Delta_\hbar$ a homomorphism, we must deform the commutation relations. It follows that $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$ is the associative unital algebra with six generators $e, f, h, \mathbf{J}(e), \mathbf{J}(f), \mathbf{J}(h)$ and the following defining relations

$$[e, f] = h \ , \qquad [h, e] = 2e \ , \qquad [h, f] = -2f, \tag{4.62}$$

$$[x, \mathbf{J}(y)] = \mathbf{J}([x, y]) \ , \qquad \mathbf{J}(ax + by) = a\, \mathbf{J}(x) + b\, \mathbf{J}(y), \tag{4.63}$$

where $x, y \in \{e, f, h\}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and

$$\big[[\mathbf{J}(e), \mathbf{J}(f)], \mathbf{J}(h)\big] \ = \ \hbar^2 \ \big(\mathbf{J}(e) f - e\, \mathbf{J}(f)\big) h. \tag{4.64}$$

This last commutation relation is known as "terrific relation" [Dr] (see also [Mo]).

4.2.2. *Positive Borel of* $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(A_1^{(2)})$*.* We consider now the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_1$ of the half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ invariant by the automorphism $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathcal{L}^+$ defined by

$$\varphi_1(e_n) = (-1)^{n+1} e_n \ , \ \varphi_1(f_n) = (-1)^{n+1} f_n \ \text{ and } \ \varphi_1(h_n) = (-1)^n h_n. \tag{4.65}$$

This subalgebra is generated by $\{h_{2n}, e_{2n+1}, f_{2n+1} | n \geq 0\}$ and the commutation relations (4.53) restricted to these generators.

We want now to compute a Lie bi-algebra structure for $\mathcal{T}_1$ using an invariant Manin triple twist. To obtain that, we need to define the following Lie algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ (direct sum of Lie algebras) where $\mathfrak{h}$ is the abelian Lie algebra generated by $\{h_0\}$. We introduce the following subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A}^+ \quad = \quad \{(h_0, h_0), (e_n, 0), (h_m, 0), (f_m, 0) | n \geq 0, m > 0\} \tag{4.66}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^- \quad = \quad \{(h_0, -h_0), (e_n, 0), (h_n, 0), (f_m, 0) | n < 0, m \leq 0\} \ . \tag{4.67}$$

Then, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^+, \mathcal{A}^-)$ is a Manin triple with the inner product

$$\langle (x, h), (x', h') \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle x, x' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} - \langle h, h' \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \ . \tag{4.68}$$

with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined in (4.2). Then, we can show that the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_{\mathcal{A}} & : & \mathcal{A} & \to & \mathcal{A} \\ & & (x,h) & \mapsto & (\varphi_1(x),\varphi_1(h)) \end{array} \tag{4.69}$$

is an invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^+,\mathcal{A}^-)$. From this map, we get a symmetric space decomposition for $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}^+$ and $\mathcal{A}^-$ where the $+1$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{A}^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_1$. Explicitly the isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^+\to\mathcal{T}_1$ is given by $(h_0,h_0)\mapsto h_0$, $(e_1,0)\mapsto e_1$ and $(f_1,0)\mapsto f_1$. As explained, in the section 2.2.1, an invariant Manin triple twist provides a cocommutator for the $+1$-eigenspace. In this case, this construction gives the following cocommutator for $\mathcal{T}_1$

$$\delta(h_0)=0\ ,\ \ \delta(e_1)=\frac{1}{2}(h_0\otimes e_1-e_1\otimes h_0)\ ,\ \ \delta(f_1)=\frac{1}{2}(f_1\otimes h_0-h_0\otimes f_1)\ . \tag{4.70}$$

We give only the values of the cocommutator for h_0, e_1 and f_1 since we need only these elements in the following.

To study the deformation of this Lie bi-algebra $\mathcal{T}_1$, we introduce, as for the previous examples, the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" for $\mathcal{T}_1$. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{T}_1$ can be equivalently defined only with $\{H,E,F\}$ subject to

$$[H,E]=2E\quad,\quad[H,F]=-2F \tag{4.71}$$

$$[E,[E,[E,F]]]=0\quad,\quad[F,[F,[F,E]]]=0\ . \tag{4.72}$$

Let us emphasize that the previous terrific relations for $\mathcal{T}_1$ are on the level 4 in comparison to the one for $\mathcal{L}^+$ (4.58) which is on the level 3. For the half-loop algebra based on the other Lie algebras as sl_n $(n>2)$, so_n or sp_n, the terrific relations are on the level 2. The map between both presentations is given by

$$H\mapsto h_0\,,\quad E\mapsto e_1\quad\text{and}\qquad F\mapsto f_1\ . \tag{4.73}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ the deformed generators corresponding to H, E, F in $\mathcal{T}_1$. Following the lines of the standard deformation of the Lie algebra sl_2 (see chapter 6.4.A in [CP]), we may choose the following coproduct

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{H}\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{H}, \tag{4.74}$$

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{E}\otimes e^{\hbar\mathbf{H}/2}+1\otimes\mathbf{E}, \tag{4.75}$$

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F}\otimes 1+e^{\hbar\mathbf{H}/2}\otimes\mathbf{F}. \tag{4.76}$$

It is easy to verify that this coproduct satisfies relation (3.2) with the Lie bi-algebra structure defined by (4.70) and induces the following commutation relations, deformations of relations (4.71) and (4.72)

$$[\mathbf{H},\mathbf{E}]=2\mathbf{E}\quad,\quad[\mathbf{H},\mathbf{F}]=-2\mathbf{F}\,, \tag{4.77}$$

$$[\mathbf{E},[\mathbf{E},[\mathbf{E},\mathbf{F}]]_{(-\hbar)}]_{(-2\hbar)}=0\,,\quad[\mathbf{F},[\mathbf{F},[\mathbf{F},\mathbf{E}]]_{(-\hbar)}]_{(-2\hbar)}=0. \tag{4.78}$$

with $[x,y]_{(\hbar)}=xy-e^{\hbar}yx$.

To identify this Hopf algebra, let us remind that the twisted quantum affine groups $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(A_n^{(2)})$ are well-studied Hopf algebras [J2] and their positive modes defined a subalgebra, called quantum positive Borel. Usually, one restricts the study of these algebras to the cases $n\geq 2$ since one needs exterior automorphisms of A_n: the twist by an inner automorphism provides an algebra which is isomorphic to the one we start with (see e.g. [GO]). However, this last statement is true only for the whole loop algebra and is not any more valid for the half-loop algebra. Therefore, by extension, we called the Hopf algebra we obtained the positive Borel of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(A_1^{(2)})$.

4.2.3. *Coideal subalgebra* $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^+(sl_2)$ *of the Yangian* $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$. In this subsection, we use again the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_1$ introduced in section 4.2.2 but this time we associate to it a Lie bi-ideal structure. To do that, we use the Manin triple $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}^+,\mathcal{L}^-)$ with the inner product $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ (introduced in section 4.2.1) and we show that the map φ_1, defined by (4.65), is an anti-invariant Manin triple twist. Then, remarking that the $+1$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{L}^+$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_1$, we can deduce a left bi-ideal structure for $\mathcal{T}_1$. For example, we get

$$\tau(h_0)=0\ ,\ \tau(e_1)=-e_0\otimes h_0\ ,\ \tau(f_1)=f_0\otimes h_0\ . \tag{4.79}$$

Remark 4.1. *Let us emphasize that, although the algebras introduced in this example and in section 4.2.2 are isomorphic, the additional structures associated completely different. Indeed, for the former, we introduce the left Lie bi-ideal structure (4.79) whereas, for the latter, we associate a Lie bialgebra structure (4.70). The difference in these structures lead to non equivalent deformations.*

To study the coideal subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^+(sl_2)$ of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$, deformation of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_1$ with (4.79), we use the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" for $\mathcal{T}_1$ given by (4.71) and (4.72). We denote by $\mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{F}$ the deformed generators in $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^+(sl_2)$ corresponding, respectively, to the generators H, E, F in $\mathcal{T}_1$. By the deformation procedure, we obtain the following left co-action

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{H}) \;=\; h\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{H} \tag{4.80}$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{E}) \;=\; \Big(\mathbf{J}(e)-\hbar\,\frac{h\,e}{2}\Big)\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{E}-\hbar\;e\otimes\mathbf{H} \tag{4.81}$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{F}) \;=\; \Big(\mathbf{J}(f)+\hbar\,\frac{h\,f}{2}\Big)\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{F}+\hbar\;f\otimes\mathbf{H} \tag{4.82}$$

and the following defining relations

$$[\mathbf{H},\mathbf{E}]=2\,\mathbf{E},\qquad [\mathbf{H},\mathbf{F}]=-2\,\mathbf{F}, \tag{4.83}$$

$$\Big[\mathbf{E},\Big[\mathbf{E},[\mathbf{E},\mathbf{F}]\Big]\Big]=-12\;\hbar^2\;\;\mathbf{E}\,\mathbf{H}\,\mathbf{E},\qquad \Big[\mathbf{F},\Big[\mathbf{F},[\mathbf{F},\mathbf{E}]\Big]\Big]=12\;\hbar^2\;\;\mathbf{F}\,\mathbf{H}\,\mathbf{F} \tag{4.84}$$

The embedding $\Psi_\hbar:\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^+(sl_2)\to\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$ is given by $\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{H})=h$, $\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{E})=\mathbf{J}(e)-\hbar\frac{he}{2}$ and $\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{F})=\mathbf{J}(f)+\hbar\frac{hf}{2}$.

This coideal algebra is isomorphic to the orthogonal twisted Yangian studied previously in [Ols] where it has been defined via the so-called FRT presentation using the reflection equation. At our knowledge, the presentation gave here is new. In fact, it was known that the three generators $\{h,\ \mathbf{J}(e)-\hbar\frac{he}{2},\ \mathbf{J}(f)+\hbar\frac{hf}{2}\}$ are the non-local charges of the Principal Chiral model on the half-line [DMS, DM] and define a coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{Y}(sl_2)$ but the terrific relations (4.84) were not known. Finally, let us remind that this coideal subalgebra is also isomorphic to the reflection algebra $\mathcal{B}(2,1)$ [MR].

4.2.4. *Coideal subalgebra* $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)$ *of the Yangian* $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$. We consider now the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_2$ of the half-loop algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ invariant by the automorphism $\varphi_2:\mathcal{L}^+\to\mathcal{L}^+$ defined by

$$\varphi_2(e_n)=(-1)^n e_n\ ,\ \varphi_2(f_n)=(-1)^n f_n\ \text{ and }\ \varphi_2(h_n)=(-1)^n h_n. \tag{4.85}$$

This subalgebra is generated by $\{h_{2n},e_{2n},f_{2n}|n\geq 0\}$ and is isomorphic, as Lie algebras, to $\mathcal{L}^+$. The map φ_2 is an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}^+,\mathcal{L}^-)$ with the inner product $\langle\langle\ ,\ \rangle\rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$. We can show that, in this case, the $+1$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{L}^+$ is $\mathcal{T}_2$ and deduce a left Lie bi-ideal structure for $\mathcal{T}_2$. For example, we get, for $x_0\in\{e_0,f_0,h_0\}$,

$$\tau(x_0)=0\ ,\ \tau(h_2)=2(e_1\otimes f_0-f_1\otimes e_0)\ ,\ \tau(e_2)=h_1\otimes e_0-e_1\otimes h_0\ ,\ \tau(f_2)=f_1\otimes h_0-h_1\otimes f_0\ . \tag{4.86}$$

Remark 4.2. *This Lie bi-ideal structure (4.86) is different from the Lie bi-algebra structure defined by (4.55) although the associated algebras in both cases are isomorphic.*

To study the coideal subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)$ of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$, deformation of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_2$ with the structure studied previously, we use as usual the "Serre-Chevalley presentation" for $\mathcal{T}_2$. As noticed previously, $\mathcal{T}_2$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}^+$. Then $\mathcal{T}_2$ may be generated only with $\{e, f, h, K(e), K(f), K(h)\}$ subject to relations

$$[e,f]=h\ ,\qquad [h,f]=-2f\ ,\qquad [h,e]=2e\ , \tag{4.87}$$

$$K([x,y])=[x,K(y)]\ ,\qquad K(ax+by)=aK(x)+bK(y) \tag{4.88}$$

and the following Serre type relation

$$[[K(e),K(f)],K(h)]=0\ . \tag{4.89}$$

The map between both presentations is given by:

$$e\mapsto e_0,\quad f\mapsto f_0,\quad h\mapsto h_0,\quad K(e)\mapsto e_2,\quad K(f)\mapsto f_2,\quad K(h)\mapsto h_2. \tag{4.90}$$

and the left Lie bi-ideal structure if given by

$$\tau(x)=0\quad\text{and}\quad \tau(K(x))=[J(x)\otimes 1,t]. \tag{4.91}$$

We denote by e, f, h, $\mathbf{K}(e)$, $\mathbf{K}(f)$ and $\mathbf{K}(h)$ the deformed generators in $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)$. We follow the same procedure to deform $\mathcal{T}_2$ than for the previous examples but, in this case, the results are more complicated since there exist non-vanishing terms in $\hbar^2$. We get the following left co-action $\mathfrak{T}_\hbar : \mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)\to\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)\otimes\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)$ defined by, for $x\in\{e,f,h\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.92)\ \mathfrak{T}_\hbar(x) &= x\otimes 1+1\otimes x,\\
\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(h)) &= \Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(h))\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{K}(h)+\hbar\,[\mathbf{J}(h)\otimes 1,t]+\frac{\hbar^2}{4}\Big([t,[t,h\otimes 1]]+[[t,e\otimes 1],[t,f\otimes 1]]\Big),\\
\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(e)) &= \Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(e))\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{K}(e)+\hbar\,[\mathbf{J}(e)\otimes 1,t]+\frac{\hbar^2}{4}\Big([t,[t,e\otimes 1]]+\frac{1}{2}[[t,h\otimes 1],[t,e\otimes 1]]\Big),\\
\mathfrak{T}_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(e)) &= \Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(e))\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathbf{K}(f)+\hbar\,[\mathbf{J}(f)\otimes 1,t]+\frac{\hbar^2}{4}\Big([t,[t,f\otimes 1]]+\frac{1}{2}[[t,f\otimes 1],[t,h\otimes 1]]\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

with $t=e\otimes f+f\otimes e+\frac{1}{2}h\otimes h$ and

$$\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(h)) = [\mathbf{J}(e),\mathbf{J}(f)]-\frac{\hbar}{4}[C,\mathbf{J}(h)] \tag{4.93}$$

$$\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(e)) = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{J}(h),\mathbf{J}(e)]-\frac{\hbar}{4}[C,\mathbf{J}(e)] \tag{4.94}$$

$$\Psi_\hbar(\mathbf{K}(f)) = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{J}(f),\mathbf{J}(h)]-\frac{\hbar}{4}[C,\mathbf{J}(f)] \tag{4.95}$$

Then, the defining commutation relations of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^-(sl_2)$ are given by

$$[h,e]=2\,e\ ,\qquad [h,f]=-2\,f\ ,\qquad [e,f]=h \tag{4.96}$$

$$\mathbf{K}([x,y])=[x,\mathbf{K}(y)]\ ,\qquad \mathbf{K}(ax+by)=a\mathbf{K}(x)+b\mathbf{K}(y) \tag{4.97}$$

with the terrific relation

$$\big[\mathbf{K}(h),[\mathbf{K}(e),\mathbf{K}(f)]\big] = \hbar^2\,\Big(\{\mathbf{K}(f),\{\mathbf{K}(h),e\}\}-\{\mathbf{K}(e),\{\mathbf{K}(h),f\}\}\Big)+\frac{\hbar^4}{4}\,h\,[\mathbf{K}(h),C] \tag{4.98}$$

with $C=ef+fe+\frac{1}{2}h^2$ and $\{x,y\}=xy+yx$.

This coideal subalgebra is isomorphic to the symplectic twisted Yangian discovered in [Ols] but also to the reflection algebra $\mathcal{B}(2,0)$ [MR].

5. Connection with Reflection algebra

In this section, we used the previous coideal algebras to construct, from intertwiner technique [J2, DMS, DM], scalar K-matrices solutions of the reflection equation [Cher, Sk]. It allows us to show the connection with known reflection algebras [MRS, MR] characterized by the choice of the scalar K-matrices.

5.1. Scalar K-matrices for $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$.

In this subsection, we construct scalar K-matrices for the coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$: the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ (see section 4.1.2) and the augmented q-Onsager algebra $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$ (see section 4.1.3).

The intertwiner equation for the scalar K-matrices is given by [DM], for any element X of $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ ($\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$),

$$K(u)(\pi_u \otimes \epsilon) \circ \mathfrak{T}_\hbar(X) = (\pi_{u^{-1}} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \mathfrak{T}_\hbar(X) K(u) \tag{5.1}$$

with π_u a representation of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$, depending on the spectral parameter u, and ϵ the counit of $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$ ($\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$). For $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$, the counit is given by

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{A}) = a, \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{A}^*) = a^* \tag{5.2}$$

with a, a^* two arbitrary parameters and for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$, we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{B}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{B}^*) = 0, \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{K}) = c \tag{5.3}$$

with c an arbitrary parameter.

For example, we consider the case where π_u is the fundamental evaluation representation of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$ in the principal picture given by

$$\pi_u(X_1^+) = \alpha E_{21}\,, \quad \pi_u(X_1^-) = \alpha^{-1} E_{12}\,, \quad \pi_u(H_1) = E_{11} - E_{22}\,, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\pi_u(X_0^+) = \alpha u E_{12}\,, \quad \pi_u(X_0^-) = \alpha^{-1} u^{-1} E_{21}\,, \quad \pi_u(H_0) = -E_{11} + E_{22}, \tag{5.5}$$

with E_{ij} the two by two matrix with 1 in the entry (i, j) and 0 otherwise. The parameter α, entering in this representation, will be fixed by the intertwiner equation. After straightforward computations, we show that the solution $K(u)$ of the intertwiner equation is given by, for the q-Onsager algebra $\mathcal{O}_\hbar$

$$K(u) \propto \begin{pmatrix} a^* u + a & [2]_\hbar \frac{(u-u^{-1})}{e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}}} \\ [2]_\hbar \frac{(u-u^{-1})}{e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}}} & a^* u^{-1} + a \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.6}$$

with $\alpha = e^{\frac{\hbar}{4}}$ and by, for the augmented q-Onsager algebra $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\hbar$,

$$K(u) \propto \begin{pmatrix} u + e^{\frac{(2c-1)\hbar}{4}} & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} + e^{\frac{(2c-1)\hbar}{4}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.7}$$

with $\alpha = e^{-\frac{\hbar}{8}}$. Both solutions satisfy the reflection equation

$$R_{21}(u/v) K_1(u) R_{12}(uv) K_2(v) = K_2(v) R_{21}(uv) K_1(u) R_{12}(u/v) \tag{5.8}$$

with $R_{12}(u)$ the trigonometric solution of the Yang Baxter equation [J1] given by

$$R_{12}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} \frac{1-u}{1-e^\hbar u} & \frac{1-e^\hbar}{1-e^\hbar u} & 0 \\ 0 & u \frac{1-e^\hbar}{1-e^\hbar u} & e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}} \frac{1-u}{1-e^\hbar u} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.9}$$

The most general solution of the reflection equation (5.8) has been obtained in [GZ, dVGR] and contains four arbitrary parameters. The two supplementary parameters come from the invariance of (5.8) by the conjugation with an arbitrary invertible diagonal matrix M in $End(\mathbb{C}^2)$: $M_1 M_2 R_{12}(u) M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} = R_{12}(u)$.

An important remark is that, if one constructs reflection algebra using dressing procedure from the scalar K-matrix (i.e. with monodromy matrix of $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L})$ in the RLL presentation [FST]) [Sk, B1, B2, MRS], the algebra obtained from the non-diagonal K-matrix (5.6) corresponds to the q-Onsager algebra and from the diagonal K-matrix (5.7) corresponds to the augmented q-Onsager algebra.

5.2. **Scalar K-matrices for $\mathcal{Y}^{\pm}(sl_2)$.** In this subsection, we construct scalar K-matrices for the coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$: the twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{+}(sl_2)$ (see section 4.2.3) and $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{-}(sl_2)$ (see section 4.2.4).

As previously, the intertwiner equation for the scalar K-matrices is given by [DM], for any element X of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{+}(sl_2)$ ($\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{-}(sl_2)$),

$$K(u)(\pi_u \otimes \epsilon) \circ \mathfrak{T}_\hbar(X) = (\pi_{-u} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \mathfrak{T}_\hbar(X) K(u) \tag{5.10}$$

with π_u a representation of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl_2)$, depending on the spectral parameter u, and ϵ the counit of $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{+}(sl_2)$ ($\mathcal{Y}_\hbar^{-}(sl_2)$). For $\mathcal{Y}^{+}(sl(2))$, the counit is

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{H}) = c, \quad \epsilon(\mathbf{E}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{F}) = 0 \tag{5.11}$$

and, for $\mathcal{Y}^{-}(sl(2))$, it is

$$\epsilon(x) = \epsilon(\mathbf{K}(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \{e, f, h\}. \tag{5.12}$$

For example, we consider the case where π_u is the fundamental evaluation representation of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}_\hbar(sl(2))$ given by

$$\pi_u(e) = E_{21}\,, \quad \pi_u(f) = E_{12}\,, \quad \pi_u(h) = E_{11} - E_{22} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_u(\mathbf{J}(x)) = (u+\alpha)\pi_u(x) \tag{5.13}$$

with α an arbitrary parameter. After straightforward computations, we show that the solution $K(u)$ of the intertwiner equation is given by, for $\mathcal{Y}^{+}(sl(2))$

$$K(u) \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{c\hbar}{u} & 0 \\ 0 & -1 + \frac{c\hbar}{u} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.14}$$

with $\alpha = 1$ and by, for $\mathcal{Y}^{-}(sl(2))$,

$$K(u) \propto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.15}$$

with $\alpha = 0$. Both solutions satisfy the reflection equation

$$R_{12}(u-v)K_1(u)R_{12}(u+v)K_2(v) = K_2(v)R_{12}(u+v)K_1(u)R_{12}(u-v) \tag{5.16}$$

with $R_{12}(u)$ the rational solution of the Yang-Baxter equation given by

$$R_{12}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{u}{u+\hbar} & \frac{\hbar}{u+\hbar} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\hbar}{u+\hbar} & \frac{u}{u+\hbar} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5.17}$$

The most general solution of the reflection equation (5.16) has been obtain in [GZ, dVGR] and contains four arbitrary parameters. The three supplementary parameters come from the invariance of (5.16) by the conjugation with an arbitrary invertible matrix $M \in End(\mathbb{C}^2)$: $M_1 M_2 R_{12}(u) M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} = R_{12}(u)$.

The K-matrix obtained for $\mathcal{Y}^{+}(sl(2))$ corresponds to the one used to define $\mathcal{B}(2,1)$ [MR] or the orthogonal twisted Yangian [Ols] (up to some conjugation and transposition) and the K-matrix obtained for $\mathcal{Y}^{-}(sl(2))$ corresponds to the one used to define $\mathcal{B}(2,0)$ [MR] or the symplectic twisted Yangian [Ols].

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we define a new structure associated to Lie algebra, called Lie bi-ideal structure, and provide a way to obtain examples thanks to particular automorphisms of Manin triple. We show that this structure is the good framework to get, if we deform this Lie algebra, coideal algebras.

It remains a lot of interesting open questions. In the case of Lie bi-algebra structure, it is known that this structure is enough to guarantee the existence and the unicity of Hopf algebra. The proof is based on Hopf algebra cohomology. Therefore, it is certainly of great interests to develop a coideal algebra cohomology and study the implications of the bi-ideal structure introduced in this paper. From another hand, the construction of an analog of the coboundary for the bi-ideal structure and the computation of classical K-matrices are interesting questions.

About the applications for the integrable models with boundaries, this presentation of coideal algebras is of great interest for the construction of the dynamical K-matrices (i.e. with algebraic entries), solutions of the reflection equations [BK, BF]. They allow one to construct integrable models with degrees of freedom on the boundaries [BK]. From other hand, we expect that they can be used to construct the algebraic Q baxter operators for open models. For periodic models this construction is known [BLZ]. These algebraic Q baxter operators appear, for example, in the study of the correlation functions [JMS].

Acknowledgement: The authors thank P. Baseilhac, A.I. Molev, E. Ragoucy and P. Roche for their careful reading of the manuscript.

References

[B1] P. Baseilhac, *Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models*, Nucl. Phys. **B 709** (2005) 491, `arXiv:hep-th/0404149`.

[B2] P. Baseilhac, *An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras*, Nucl.Phys. **B 705** (2005) 605-619, `arXiv:math-ph/0408025`.

[BB] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *Generalized q-Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **93** (2010) 213, `arXiv:hep-th/0906.1215v1`.

[BK] P. Baseilhac and K. Koizumi, *Sine-Gordon quantum field theory on the half-line with quantum boundary degrees of freedom*, Nucl.Phys. **B 649** (2003) 491, `hep-th/0208005v2`.

[BS] P. Baseilhac and K. Shigechi, *A new current algebra and the reflection equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **92** (2010) 47, `arXiv:0906.1215`.

[BLZ] V. Bazhanov, S. Lukyanov and A. Zamolodchikov, *Integrable structure of conformal field theory II. Q-operator and DDV equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1997) 247, `hep-th/9604044`.

[BF] S. Belliard and V. Fomin, *Generalized q-Onsager algebras and dynamical K matrices*, J. Phys. **A 45** (2012) 025201, `hep-th/1106.1317`.

[JMS] H. Boos, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, F. Smirnov and Y. Takeyama, *Hidden Grassmann structure in the XXZ model*, Comm. Math. Phys. **272** (2007) 263, `hep-th/0606280`.

[CP] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

[Cher] I.V. Cherednik, *Factorizing particles on the half-line and root systems*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **61** (1984) 35.

[DaRo] E. Date and S. S. Roan, *The structure of quotients of the Onsager algebra by closed ideals*, J. Phys. **A 33** (2000) 3275, `math.QA/9911018`. E. Date and S. S. Roan, *The algebraic structure of the Onsager algebra*, Czech. J. Phys. **50** (2000) 37, `cond-mat/0002418`.

[Dav1] B. Davies, *Onsager's algebra and superintegrability*, J. Phys. **A 23** (1990) 2245.

[Dav2] B. Davies, *Onsager's algebra and the Dolan-Grady condition in the non-self-dual case*, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 2945.

[DM] G.W. Delius and N.J. MacKay, *Quantum group symmetry in sine-Gordon and affine Toda field theories on the half-line,* Comm. Math. Phys. **233** (2003) 173, `arXiv:hep-th/0112023`.

[DMS] G.W. Delius, N.J. MacKay and B.J. Short, *Boundary remnant of Yangian symmetry and the structure of rational reflection matrices*, Phys. Lett. **B 522** (2001) 335, `arXiv:hep-th/0109115`; Erratum ibid. **B 524** (2002) 401.

[dVGR] H.J. de Vega and A. Gonzalez-Ruiz, *Boundary K-matrices for the XYZ, XXZ AND XXX spin chains*, J. Phys. **A 27** (1994) 6129, `hep-th/9306089v1`.

[DN] M.S. Dijkhuizen and M. Noumi, *A family of quantum projective spaces and related q-hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials,* Trans. AMS **350** (1998) 3269, `arXiv:q-alg/9605017`.

[DNS] M.S. Dijkhuizen, M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, *Multivariable AskeyWilson polynomials and quantum complex Grassmannians,* Fields Int. Commun. **14** (1997) 167, `arXiv:q-alg/9603014`.

[DG] L. Dolan and M. Grady, *Conserved charges from self-duality*, Phys. Rev. **D 25** (1982) 1587.

[DKM] J. Donin, P.P. Kulish and A.I. Mudrov, *On universal solution to reflection equation,* Lett. Math. Phys. **63** (2003) 179, `arXiv:math/0210242`.

[Dr] V.G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Sov. Math. Doklady **32** (1985) 254. V.G. Drinfeld, *A new realization of Yangians and quantum affine algebras*, Sov. Math. Doklady **36** (1988) 212.

[FST] L.D. Faddeev, E.K. Sklyanin and L.A. Takhtajan, *Quantum inverse scattering problem method,* Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1979) 194.

[GK] A.M. Gavrilik and A.U. Klimyk, *q-deformed orthogonal and pseudo-orthogonal algebras and their representations,* Lett. Math. Phys. **21** (1991) 215.

[GZ] S. Ghoshal and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Boundary S-matrix and boundary state in two-dimensional integrable quantum field theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 9** (1994) 3841, `arXiv:hep-th/9306002`.

[GO] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras in relation to quantum physics,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 1** (1986) 303.

[IT1] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra* $U_q(\widehat{sl2})$, Ramanujan J. **13** (2007) 39, `arXiv:math.QA/0310042`.

[IT2] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *Tridiagonal pairs of* $q-$*Racah type*, `arXiv:math.QA/0807.0271v1`.

[IT3] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *The augmented tridiagonnal algebra*, Kyushu J. Math. **64** (2010) 81, `arXiv:math/0904.2889v1`.

[J1] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of* $U(g)$ *and the Yang-Baxter equation,* Lett. Mat. Phys. **10** (1985) 537.

[J2] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system,* Comm. Math. Phys. **102** (1986) 537.

[K] A.U. Klimyk, *The nonstandard q-deformation of enveloping algebra* $U(so_n)$*: results and problems*, Czech. J. Phys. **51** (2001) 331. A.U. Klimyk, *Classification of irreducible representations of the* $q-$*deformed algebra* $U'_q(so_n)$, `arXiv:math/0110038v1`.

[KS] S. Kolb and J.V. Stokman, *Reflection equation algebras, coideal subalgebras, and their centres,* Sel. Math. New Ser. **15** (2009) 621 and `arXiv:0812.4459v1`.

[Le] G. Letzter, *Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs,* in New directions in Hopf algebras, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002) 117, `arXiv:math/0103228`. G. Letzter, *Quantum symmetric pairs and their zonal spherical functions,* Transformation Groups **8** (2003) 261, `math.QA/0204103`.

[Mo] A.I. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, Handbook of Algebra, Vol. 3, (M. Hazewinkel, Ed.), Elsevier, 2003, pp. 907, `arXiv:math/0211288`.

[MNO] A.I Molev, M. Nazarov and G.I Olshanskii, *Yangians and Classical Lie Algebras*, Russ. Math. Surveys **51** (1996) 205, `hep-th/9409025`.

[MR] A.I. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of reflection algebras,* Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002) 317, `math/0107213`.

[MRS] A. I. Molev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Coideal subalgebras in quantum affine algebras,* Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003) 789, `math/0208140`.

[Mu] A. Mudrov, *Reflection equation and twisted Yangians,* J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 093501, `arXiv:math/0612737`.

[No] M. Noumi, *Macdonalds symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on quantum homogeneous spaces*, Adv. Math. **123** (1996) 16, `arXiv:math/9503224`.

[NS] M. Noumi and T. Sugitani, *Quantum symmetric spaces and related q-orthogonal polynomials*, in Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (ICGTMP) (Toyonaka, Japan, 1994), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N.J. (1995) 28, `arXiv:math/9503225`.

[Ols] G.I. Olshanskii, *Twisted Yangians and infinite dimensional classical Lie algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1510**, Proceedings Leningrad (1990), Springer.

[Ons] L. Onsager, *Crystal Statistics. I. A Two-Dimensional Model with an Order-Disorder Transition*, Phys. Rev. **65** (1944) 117.

[Sk] E.K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, J. Phys. **A 21** (1988) 2375.

E-mail address: `samuel.belliard@univ-montp2.fr, nicolas.crampe@univ-montp2.fr`

Université Montpellier 2, Laboratoire Charles Coulomb UMR 5221, F-34095 Montpellier, France. CNRS, Laboratoire Charles Coulomb, UMR 5221, F-34095 Montpellier.